

Public Submission to the West Virginia Legislature Joint Committee on Redistricting

Date Document Received: October 3, 2021

Description: 1 Page

Disclaimer of Liability and Endorsement: The attached document was prepared by a private citizen or organization and submitted to the West Virginia Legislature Joint Committee on Redistricting as part of the information-gathering process. The views, content, and opinions expressed in this document are solely those of the third-party preparers and do not reflect the views, legal opinions, or in any way represent official action of the West Virginia Legislature Joint Committee on Redistricting, the West Virginia Senate, the West Virginia House of Delegates, the West Virginia Legislature, or their members (collectively, “the West Virginia Legislature”). The attached document is provided for information and convenience of the public. Reference to any specific redistricting plan, map, district, or process does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by the West Virginia Legislature. The West Virginia Legislature makes no claims, promises, guarantees, or warranties about the contents, errors, or omissions in the content of the attached document. The West Virginia Legislature expressly disclaims responsibility for the content of the attached document.

[REDACTED]

From: WVApps
Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 2:14 PM
To: Joint Redistricting
Subject: Redistricting

Stephanie Tomana
[REDACTED]

COMMENTS: As we move towards single delegate districts, the proposed maps raise some questions. Shouldn't we attempt to balance population and demographics? Clearly Fairmont's socioeconomic, developmental, and infrastructure needs are more in line with White Hall than Worthington; will outlying communities get the same representation and attention? If the intent is to create balanced districts, Fairmont by population alone should be a stand alone district. Or is the intent is to incumbent delegate seats?